

the reciprocal exchange of resources instead of mutual care), rather than the communal close relationships that seem to benefit from supplication emotions (Batson, 1993; van Kleef & Côté, 2022). More specifically, appeasement emotions are particularly functional by virtue of their impact on individuals' tendency to follow social norms and meet obligations (Beall & Tracy, 2020). For example, when individuals commit a social transgression, they risk being perceived as untrustworthy, selfish, and unfit for future relationships (Gilbert, 2007). Displaying shame or embarrassment in these situations can appease onlookers by demonstrating awareness of social norms and acknowledgment that they were violated, thereby helping the transgressor maintain their relationships by conveying one's motivation to behave prosocially moving forward (Feinberg, Willer, & Keltner, 2012; Keltner & Buswell, 1997; Martens, Tracy, & Shariff, 2012).

In fact, this appeasement function extends beyond existing relationships; studies have found that individuals choose more lenient penalties for unacquainted transgressors (e.g., CEOs making public apologies) who express shame and embarrassment, compared to those who express no emotion (Giner-Sorolla, Castano, Espinosa, & Brown, 2008; Keltner & Buswell, 1997). Appeasement emotions thus help individuals form and maintain cooperative exchange relationships by reducing the consequences of social transgressions and preventing relationship dissolution, which benefit both parties' long-term fitness, and may explain the evolution of these emotions (Barkow, 1989; Gilbert, 1997; Leary & Baumeister, 2000). In this way, appeasement emotions serve a function very similar to that of fear, proposed in the fearful ape hypothesis, but more narrowly in exchange relationships.

In closing, we commend Grossmann's review and strong support for the fearful ape hypothesis, while also recommending that it be expanded to include supplication and appeasement emotions. Both classes of emotions are associated with signals and behaviors that underlie humans' desire and ability to cooperate in specific moments, as well as to form and maintain long-standing cooperative relationships. Nonetheless, questions remain for future research. To our knowledge, the primate research that Grossmann describes has yet to be conducted for other supplication emotions like sadness, leaving it unclear whether humans are uniquely prone to experiencing sadness and recognizing it in others in the way they appear to be for fear. We look forward to research in this vein, and thank Grossmann for bringing these important questions to light with his novel and thought-provoking hypothesis.

**Financial support.** The authors would like to acknowledge the generous grant from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council Insight Grant Project number 12R12294 that funded some of the research described here.

**Competing interest.** None.

## References

- Barkow, J. H. (1989). *Darwin, sex, and status: Biological approaches to mind and culture* (pp. xx, 453). University of Toronto Press.
- Batson, C. D. (1993). Communal and exchange relationships: What is the difference? *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 19(6), 677–683.
- Beall, A. T., & Tracy, J. L. (2020). The evolution of pride and shame. In J. H. Barkow, L. Workman, & W. Reader (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of evolutionary perspectives on human behavior* (pp. 179–193). Cambridge University Press.
- Butler, E. A., Egloff, B., Wilhelm, F. H., Smith, N. C., Erickson, E. A., & Gross, J. J. (2003). The social consequences of expressive suppression. *Emotion*, 3(1), 48–67.
- Clark, M. S., Oullette, R., Powell, M. C., & Milberg, S. (1987). Recipient's mood, relationship type, and helping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 53(1), 94.

- Eisenberg, N. (2000). Emotion, regulation, and moral development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 51(1), 665–697.
- Feinberg, M., Willer, R., & Keltner, D. (2012). Flustered and faithful: Embarrassment as a signal of prosociality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 102(1), 81.
- Gilbert, P. (1997). The evolution of social attractiveness and its role in shame, humiliation, guilt and therapy. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, 70(2), 113–147.
- Gilbert, P. (2007). The evolution of shame as a marker for relationship security: A biosychosocial approach. In J. L. Tracy, R. W. Robins, & J. P. Tangney (Eds.), *The self-conscious emotions: Theory and research* (pp. 283–309). Guilford Press.
- Giner-Sorolla, R., Castano, E., Espinosa, P., & Brown, R. (2008). Shame expressions reduce the recipient's insult from outgroup reparations. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 44(3), 519–526.
- Graham, S. M., Huang, J. Y., Clark, M. S., & Helgeson, V. S. (2008). The positives of negative emotions: Willingness to express negative emotions promotes relationships. *Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin*, 34(3), 394–406.
- Hammer, J. L., & Marsh, A. A. (2015). Why do fearful facial expressions elicit behavioral approach? Evidence from a combined approach-avoidance implicit association test. *Emotion*, 15(2), 223.
- Hendriks, M. C., & Vingerhoets, A. J. (2006). Social messages of crying faces: Their influence on anticipated person perception, emotions and behavioural responses. *Cognition and Emotion*, 20(6), 878–886.
- Impett, E. A., Kogan, A., English, T., John, O., Oveis, C., Gordon, A. M., & Keltner, D. (2012). Suppression sours sacrifice: Emotional and relational costs of suppressing emotions in romantic relationships. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(6), 707–720.
- Keltner, D., & Buswell, B. N. (1997). Embarrassment: Its distinct form and appeasement functions. *Psychological Bulletin*, 122(3), 250.
- Keltner, D., & Shiota, M. N. (2021). Emotion and personality: A social functionalist approach. In O. P. John & R. W. Robins (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (pp. 447–486). Guilford Press.
- Leary, M. R., & Baumeister, R. F. (2000). The nature and function of self-esteem: Sociometer theory. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 32, pp. 1–62). Academic Press.
- Marsh, A. A., & Ambady, N. (2007). The influence of the fear facial expression on prosocial responding. *Cognition and Emotion*, 21(2), 225–247.
- Martens, J. P., Tracy, J. L., & Shariff, A. F. (2012). Status signals: Adaptive benefits of displaying and observing the nonverbal expressions of pride and shame. *Cognition & Emotion*, 26(3), 390–406.
- Parkinson, B., Simons, G., & Niven, K. (2016). Sharing concerns: Interpersonal worry regulation in romantic couples. *Emotion*, 16(4), 449.
- Small, D. A., & Verrochi, N. M. (2009). The face of need: Facial emotion expression on charity advertisements. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 46(6), 777–787.
- van Doorn, E. A., van Kleef, G. A., & van der Pligt, J. (2015). How emotional expressions shape prosocial behavior: Interpersonal effects of anger and disappointment on compliance with requests. *Motivation and Emotion*, 39(1), 128–141.
- van Kleef, G. A., & Côté, S. (2022). The social effects of emotions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 73(1), 629–658.
- Weidman, A. C., & Tracy, J. L. (2020). Picking up good vibrations: Uncovering the content of distinct positive emotion subjective experience. *Emotion*, 20(8), 1311–1331.

## Heightened fearfulness in infants is not adaptive

Marissa Ogren<sup>a</sup> , Lisa Feldman Barrett<sup>b,c,d</sup>,

Katie Hoemann<sup>e</sup> and Vanessa LoBue<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Psychology, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07103, USA;

<sup>b</sup>Department of Psychology, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA;

<sup>c</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA;

<sup>d</sup>Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, MA 02129, USA and

<sup>e</sup>Department of Psychology and Educational Sciences, KU Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

[marissa.ogren@rutgers.edu](mailto:marissa.ogren@rutgers.edu); <https://www.childstudycenter-rutgers.com/>

[l.barrett@northeastern.edu](mailto:l.barrett@northeastern.edu); <https://www.affective-science.org/>

[katie.hoemann@kuleuven.be](mailto:katie.hoemann@kuleuven.be); [vlobue@psychology.rutgers.edu](mailto:vlobue@psychology.rutgers.edu)

doi:10.1017/S0140525X2200187X, e73

### Abstract

Grossmann proposes the “fearful ape hypothesis,” suggesting that heightened fearfulness in early life is evolutionarily adaptive. We question this claim with evidence that (1) perceived fearfulness in children is associated with negative, not positive long-term outcomes; (2) caregivers are responsive to *all* affective behaviors, not just those perceived as fearful; and (3) caregiver responsiveness serves to *reduce* perceived fearfulness.

As affective scientists, we appreciate the target article’s focus on how emotions emerge within early social development and are influenced by aspects of social functioning, including cooperation. The basic premise of the article should be called into question, however, when viewed through the lens of published, empirical evidence that was underemphasized, overlooked, or misinterpreted in the article’s discussion of fearfulness in early childhood.

First, there is a robust and growing literature demonstrating that heightened fearfulness in children is not an adaptive trait, and is instead an important predictor of negative behavioral outcomes. The abundance of research on the relation between fearful behavior and mental health problems was greatly minimized in the target article, even though some of it was mentioned (e.g., Fox et al., 2021; Sandstrom, Uher, & Pavlova, 2020). In fact, a large body of research indicates that perceived fearfulness in children is associated with a variety of long-term negative outcomes (e.g., Buss & McDoniel, 2016; Coplan, Wilson, Frohlick, & Zelenski, 2006; Van Brakel, Muris, Bogels, & Thomassen, 2006), contrary to the author’s claims regarding the benefits of early fearfulness. It has been known for some time that the extent to which infants and young children demonstrate a fearful temperament (commonly defined as behavioral inhibition, or children’s tendency to excessively avoid or withdraw from novel situations) prospectively predicts internalizing behaviors and adverse social and mental health outcomes (e.g., Chronis-Tuscano et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2009). In fact, fearful temperament is the *strongest predictor of social anxiety* in later childhood, with approximately 40% of behaviorally inhibited children going on to develop anxiety disorders compared to roughly 12% in children with other temperaments (Clauss & Blackford, 2012; Fox, Barker, White, Suway, & Pine, 2013). Importantly, the link between fearful temperament and anxiety disorders has also been documented cross-culturally (Howard, Muris, Loxton, & Wege, 2017; Vreeke, Muris, Mayer, Huijding, & Rapee, 2013), suggesting that this relation is unlikely to be the function of an evolutionary mismatch with Western culture as suggested by the author.

Second, research suggests that parents are unlikely to differentiate between negative emotions in their infants, and contrary to the author’s claim that parental responses to fear are uniquely beneficial, parents are responsive to the intensity of both positive and negative affective behaviors. Many (if not all) evocative behaviors in infants capture caregiver attention, regardless of valence or perceived emotion category (e.g., Thompson-Booth et al., 2013). For example, caregivers are highly sensitive to behaviors that suggest positive affect in infants (Kivijarvi et al., 2001). Additionally, caregiver sensitivity to infant distress relies more on integrating information about context and the intensity of infant distress than on making inferences about whether an infant is fearful, sad, angry, and so on (Mesman, Oster, & Camras, 2012). This makes sense given evidence that, across both Western and non-Western cultures, infants do not show distinct

facial configurations in fear- and anger-eliciting situations (Camras et al., 2007) and that caregivers respond similarly to bouts of child distress cross-culturally (Bornstein et al., 1992, 2017). More generally, many of the arguments offered in the target article about the adaptiveness of fear in infants and young children would also hold for caregivers’ perceptions of other emotions, such as sadness or happiness, or intense affective experiences.

Further, throughout the target article, the description of infant behavior is not clearly distinguished from inferences about its cause. Infant behaviors were frequently described as “fearful” without clearly demonstrating that the situations were reasonably likely to evoke fear. Indeed, instances of a given emotion category are situationally expressed with a variety of facial configurations and behaviors (e.g., in anger, infants and adults furrow their brows in a scowl less than 30% of the time; Barrett, Adolphs, Marsella, Martinez, & Pollak, 2019; Bennett, Bendersky, & Lewis, 2002; Sears, Repetti, Reynolds, & Sperling, 2014). Given this situated variation in expressive behaviors, some infant behaviors (such as crying) will be interpreted by adults in more than one way, depending on the context (Chóliz, Fernández-Abascal, & Martínez-Sánchez, 2013). Scientists must take care to separate their descriptions of physical actions (e.g., facial movements such as widened eyes and gasping mouth) from their inferences about the causes of such actions (such as an inference that the movements are an expression of emotion, or an expression of fear more specifically). Thus, in line with our second point it is more scientifically accurate to conclude that intense or affectively evocative behaviors, rather than fearful behaviors per se, elicit caregiving responses.

Third, parental presence reduces perceived fearfulness early in life at both the behavioral and neural levels; it does not support perceived fearfulness as one would expect if this were, indeed, adaptive. Recent developmental research suggests that a caregiver’s presence *reduces* the development of behaviors commonly associated with perceived fearfulness, such as startle responses (e.g., Van Rooij et al., 2017). There is also evidence in both human and nonhuman animals that the presence of a caregiver promotes exploration and learning in children, buffering *against* behaviors that are perceived as fearful (e.g., Callaghan et al., 2019). Although the original article proposes the role of amygdala activity in supporting fearfulness, caregiver presence typically functions to buffer rapid amygdala development and premature amygdala engagement (Tottenham, 2012). Further, maternal presence allows child amygdala-prefrontal circuitry to function more similarly to adolescent connectivity, thereby allowing for more mature regulatory behavior (Gee et al., 2014). These findings suggest that exploration, and not fearful behavior, is what is most adaptive for infants.

In our view, the target article falls short of demonstrating that heightened fearfulness in infants and young children is evolutionarily adaptive. This hypothesis is called into serious doubt by several hidden inferences that are not supported by existing research, specifically that which demonstrates long-term mental health outcomes of perceived fearfulness as well as parental buffering. Instead, intense affect more generally elicits responses from caregivers. These affective behaviors, and not fearfulness per se, may be what elicits responsive caregiving, ultimately leading to improved functionality within the lifespan of an individual human and improved adaptation of the species.

**Financial support.** This paper was supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (F32HD105316 to MO), National Science Foundation (BCS 1947972 to LFB), the National Institute of Mental Health (R21MH129902 to LFB), the US Army Research Institute for the Behavioral

and Social Sciences (W911NF-16-1-019 to LFB), the Elizabeth R. Koch Foundation (through its Unlikely Collaborators Fund to LFB), a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship from the European Commission (892379 to KH) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, and a James McDonnell Foundation Scholar Award for Understanding Human Cognition (to VL). This paper reflects only the authors' views; the European Commission is not liable for any use that may be made of the contained information. The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this review are those of the authors and shall not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documents; nor do they necessarily reflect the views of the Elizabeth R. Koch Foundation.

**Competing interest.** None.

## References

- Barrett, L. F., Adolphs, R., Marsella, S., Martinez, A. M., & Pollak, S. D. (2019). Emotional expressions reconsidered: Challenges to inferring emotion from human facial movements. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 20, 1–68. doi: [10.1177/1529100619832930](https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100619832930)
- Bennett, D. S., Bendersky, M., & Lewis, M. (2002). Facial expressivity at 4 months: A context by expression analysis. *Infancy*, 1, 97–113. doi: [10.1207/S15327078IN0301\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327078IN0301_5)
- Bornstein, M. H., Putnick, D. L., Rigo, P., Esposito, G., Swain, J. E., Suwalsky, J. T. D., ... Venuti, P. (2017). Neurobiology of culturally common maternal responses to infant cry. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114, E9465–E9473. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1712022114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1712022114)
- Bornstein, M. H., Tamis-LeMonda, C. S., Tal, J., Ludemann, P., Toda, S., Rahn, C. W., ... Vardi, D. (1992). Maternal responsiveness to infants in three societies: The United States, France, and Japan. *Child Development*, 63, 808–821. doi: [10.1111/j.1467-8624.1992.tb01663.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1992.tb01663.x)
- Buss, K. A., & McDoniel, M. E. (2016). Improving the prediction of risk for anxiety development in temperamentally fearful children. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 25, 14–20. doi: [10.1177/0963721415611601](https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721415611601)
- Callaghan, B., Meyer, H., Opendak, M., Van Tieghe, M., Harmon, C., Li, A., ... Tottenham, N. (2019). Using a developmental ecology framework to align fear neurobiology across species. *Annual Reviews of Clinical Psychology*, 15, 345–369. doi: [10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095727](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095727)
- Camras, L. A., Oster, H., Bakeman, R., Meng, Z., Ujiie, T., & Campos, J. J. (2007). Do infants show distinct negative facial expressions for fear and anger? Emotional expression in 11-month-old European American, Chinese, and Japanese infants. *Infancy*, 11, 131–155. doi: [10.1111/j.1532-7078.2007.tb00219.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7078.2007.tb00219.x)
- Chóliz, M., Fernández-Abascal, E. G., & Martínez-Sánchez, F. (2013). Infant crying: Pattern of weeping, recognition of emotion and affective reactions in observers. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 15, 978–988. doi: [10.5209/rev\\_SJOP.2012.v15.n3.39389](https://doi.org/10.5209/rev_SJOP.2012.v15.n3.39389)
- Chronis-Tuscano, A., Dangan, K. A., Pine, D. S., Perez-Edgar, K., Henderson, H. A., Diaz, Y., ... Fox, N. A. (2009). Stable early maternal report of behavioral inhibition predicts lifetime social anxiety disorders in adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 48, 928–935. doi: [10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181ae09df](https://doi.org/10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181ae09df)
- Clauss, J. A., & Blackford, J. U. (2012). Behavioral inhibition and risk for developing social anxiety disorder: A meta-analytic study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 51, 1066–1075. doi: [10.1016/j.jaac.2012.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2012.08.002)
- Coplan, R. J., Wilson, J., Frohlick, S. L., & Zelenski, J. (2006). A person-oriented analysis of behavioral inhibition and behavioral activation in children. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 41, 917–927. doi: [10.1016/j.paid.2006.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.02.019)
- Fox, N. A., Barker, T. V., White, L. K., Suway, J. G., & Pine, D. S. (2013). Commentary: To intervene or not? Appreciating or treating individual differences in childhood temperament – Remarks on Rapee. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54, 789–790.
- Fox, N. A., Buzzell, G. A., Morales, S., Valadez, E. A., Wilson, M., & Henderson, H. A. (2021). Understanding the emergence of social anxiety in children with behavioral inhibition. *Biological Psychiatry*, 89, 681–689. doi: [10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.10.004)
- Gee, D. G., Gabard-Durnam, L., Telzer, E. H., Humphreys, K. L., Goff, B., Shapiro, M., ... Tottenham, N. (2014). *Psychological Science*, 25, 2067–2078. doi: [10.1002/dev.20531](https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20531)
- Howard, M., Muris, P., Loxton, H., & Wege, A. (2017). Anxiety-proneness, anxiety symptoms, and the role of parental overprotection in young South African children. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 26, 262–270. doi: [10.1007/s10826-016-0545-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-016-0545-z)
- Kivijarvi, M., Voeten, M. J. M., Niemela, P., Raiha, H., Lertola, K., & Piha, J. (2001). Maternal sensitivity behavior and infant behavior in early interaction. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 22, 627–640. doi: [10.1002/imhj.1023](https://doi.org/10.1002/imhj.1023)
- Mesman, J., Oster, H., & Camras, L. (2012). Parental sensitivity to infant distress: What do discrete negative emotions have to do with it? *Attachment & Human Development*, 14, 337–348. doi: [10.1080/14616734.2012.691649](https://doi.org/10.1080/14616734.2012.691649)
- Sandstrom, A., Uher, R., & Pavlova, B. (2020). Prospective association between childhood behavioral inhibition and anxiety: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 48, 57–66. doi: [10.1007/s10802-019-00588-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-019-00588-5)
- Sears, M. S., Repetti, R. L., Reynolds, B. M., & Sperling, J. B. (2014). A naturalistic observational study of children's expressions of anger in the family context. *Emotion*, 14, 272–283. doi: [10.1037/a0034753](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034753)
- Thompson-Booth, C., Viding, E., Mayes, L. C., Rutherford, H. J. V., Hodsoll, S., & McCrory, E. J. (2013). Here's looking at you, kid: Attention to infant emotional faces in mothers and non-mothers. *Developmental Science*, 17, 35–46. doi: [10.1111/desc.12090](https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12090)
- Tottenham, N. (2012). Human amygdala development in the absence of species-expected caregiving. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 54, 598–611. doi: [10.1002/dev.20531](https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.20531)
- Van Brakel, A. M. L., Muris, P., Bogels, S. M., & Thomassen, C. (2006). A multifactorial model for the etiology of anxiety in non-clinical adolescents: Main and interactive effects of behavioral inhibition, attachment, and parental rearing. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 15, 568–578. doi: [10.1007/s10826-006-9061-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-006-9061-x)
- Van Rooij, S. J. H., Cross, D., Stevens, J. S., Vance, L. A., Kim, Y. J., Bradley, B., ... Jovanovic, T. (2017). Maternal buffering of fear-potentiated startle in children and adolescents with trauma exposure. *Social Neuroscience*, 12, 22–31. doi: [10.1080/17470919.2016.1164244](https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2016.1164244)
- Vreeke, L. J., Muris, P., Mayer, B., Huijding, J., & Rapee, R. M. (2013). Skittish, shielded, and scared: Relations among behavioral inhibition, overprotective parenting, and anxiety in native and non-native Dutch preschool children. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 27, 703–710. doi: [10.1016/j.janxdis.2013.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2013.09.006)
- Williams, L. R., Degnan, K., Perez-Edgar, K., Henderson, H. A., Rubin, K. H., Pine, D. S., ... Fox, N. A. (2009). Impact of behavioral inhibition and parenting style on internalizing and externalizing problems from early childhood through adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 37, 1063–1075. doi: [10.1007/s10802-009-9331-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-009-9331-3)

## Social learning and the adaptiveness of expressing and perceiving fearfulness

Karsten Olsen<sup>a</sup> and Ida Selbing<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Interacting Minds Centre, Aarhus University, Aarhus C 8000, Denmark and

<sup>b</sup>Department of Psychology, Karolinska Institutet, 171 65 Solna, Sweden

[etnoko@cas.au.dk](mailto:etnoko@cas.au.dk)

[ida.selbing@ki.se](mailto:ida.selbing@ki.se); [www.emotionlab.se](http://www.emotionlab.se)

doi:10.1017/S0140525X22001820, e74

### Abstract

The fearful ape hypothesis revolves around our ability to express and perceive fearfulness. Here, we address these abilities from a social learning perspective which casts fearfulness in a slightly different light. Our commentary argues that any theory that characterizes a (human) social signal as being adaptive, needs to address the role of social learning as an alternative candidate explanation.

We agree with many of the author's interesting and intriguing ideas, but in this commentary we suggest that in order to substantiate the fearful ape hypothesis, it should directly address alternative candidate explanations which emerge from the uniquely human abilities of social learning and interaction. In stating our case, we present a broad literature indicating that our ability to express and perceive fearfulness is adaptive in the context of social fear learning, which could potentially account, at least in part, for the emergence of heightened fearfulness.

Social learning is a fundamental and crucial ability that enables us to acquire behaviors, skills, and knowledge efficiently (Boyd & Richerson, 1985; Hoppitt & Laland, 2013). Although social learning is ubiquitous among human and nonhuman animals, humans have unique abilities in this domain, for example, in mechanisms such as imitation and teaching (Kendal et al., 2018; Kline, 2015).